Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,396	LOLAYEKAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER P. GREY	2474
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) CHRISTOPHER P. GREY.	(3)	
(2) <u>Barry Young</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 May 2010.		
Type: a) \(\subseteq Telephonic b) \subseteq Video Conference \(c) \subseteq Personal (copy given to: 1) \subseteq applicant \(2) \subseteq applicant's representative \)		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Ibrahim (US 7.330.892)</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) □ N/A.		
Substance of Inferview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. If a restances including all selected with the assumer protect to 3.1.2 of the provisional selectation which are incorporated by reflections. In order to show withsilling without sufficient and all anticesses. As advanced, less assumed to a substance of the selection of		
/Christopher P Grey/ Examiner, Art Unit 2474		

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPDP), Section 113.04, Substance of Interview Most be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, wideo conference, or belighbore interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the
application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete witten statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135 (35.U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR 61.2 Business to be transacted in writing

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be treascated my leging. The personal distingation personal production of the Patent and Trademark Office should be treascated in writing. The personal distingation personal personal production of the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based enclosurely on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged only promise, sploutation, or understanding in reliation to with their test is disagreement or doubt.

_

The action of the Patent and Trademank Office cannot be based exclusively on the writien record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of an interview. It is the responsibility of the applicant or the alterney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the applicant or the alterney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the applicant of the applicant or the alterney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the applicant of the applicant of the applicant of the applicant or the applicant of the applica

which bear directly on the question of placeholdity.

Essentions stand complete in histories whatmany. Form for each intensive held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the intensive by practicing the appropriate bases and filling in the blanks. Discussions inspecting only procedure matters, dended soolly to restriction and presents by the value intensive procedure, to deliminar privated that is because of the size training Procedure, or jointing any procedure of the procedure procedure or jointing and procedure or joint and procedure or joi

The interview Summers, From that the given an appropriate Paper No., about to the right hand profess of the large of its belief on the conclusion of the Wagozin. It approximate frame is, a delacted for the from a page to the application of the original profession of the profession of the profession of the interview. In the conclusion of the interview, in the case of a telephone or view-confirment enview, the copy is maked to the applicant is correspondent address of the interview. In the case of a telephone or view-confirment enview of the confirment environment enview of the confirment enview of the confirment en

substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate interview Summary Record is required.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
 Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contain.
- not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
 The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action).
- It is destrable that the examiner only remind the applicant of the or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or a supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, and of the applicable items required terms required.
 - e or the interview.

 A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
 - 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
 - 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
 - 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
 - an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
 - a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not
 - required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application like. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuase to the examiner.)
 - 6) a general indication of any other pertinent mailters discussed, and 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examen.
- the examiner.

 Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paner recording the substance of the interview alone with the date and the examiner's initials.